## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/156,952	OSTGAARD ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
P. Kathryn Bex	1743

	P. Kathryn Bex	1743		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) P. Kathryn Bex (Examiner).	(3) Jill Warden (SPE).			
(2) Brian Gaff (agent).	(4) Christopher Stamos (	agent)		
Date of Interview: 24 July 2002.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1	_^			
Identification of prior art discussed: La Branca et al (USP 47	33773)			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.		] N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		if an agreement	was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w			
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).</li> </ul>	parate record of the substanc	e of the interviev	/(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERV	). If a reply to the VIEW DATE TO	e last Office FILE A	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner recommends the addition of a language which disclose the bottom most edge of the lugs being about to the open and of the container than the aboved end. Such language in claim I would overcome both the 35 USC 112 and priagraph & the 35 USC 102(b) anticipated by he Branca et al.